

GREEN ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: TRANSFORMING TRADITIONAL BUSINESS MODELS

Zakharova Natalia¹, Kurmankulova Nurzhamal², Malika Baysaeva³



^{1,3}Plekhanov Russian University of Economics

²Kazakh University of Technology and Business, Astana, Republic of Kazakhstan

³Kadyrov Chechen State University

nat_zakh@mail.ru

Abstract

The transition to a green economy is reshaping traditional business models across industries, driven by the urgent need to achieve sustainable development in the face of climate change, resource depletion, and social inequality. This study explores how businesses are redefining value creation through the integration of environmental stewardship, circular principles, and social responsibility into core operations. The shift from linear "take-make-dispose" models to regenerative and inclusive systems is examined through case studies in manufacturing, energy, agriculture, and services, highlighting innovations such as resource efficiency, product-as-a-service models, renewable energy adoption, and sustainable supply chains. The analysis reveals that companies embracing green transformation achieve not only environmental benefits—reduced emissions, lower waste, and conservation of natural capital—but also improved competitiveness, resilience, and long-term profitability. Regulatory pressures, consumer demand for sustainability, investor expectations, and technological advancements are key drivers of this change. However, barriers remain, including high initial costs, lack of skilled labor, fragmented policies, and resistance to organizational change. The research underscores that successful transformation requires systemic innovation, stakeholder engagement, and supportive policy frameworks. Public-private partnerships, green financing mechanisms, and digital technologies play a crucial role in scaling sustainable practices. The findings demonstrate that the green economy is not a constraint on growth, but a catalyst for reimagining business in a way that aligns economic success with planetary and societal well-being. Strategic leadership and forward-looking governance are essential to accelerate the transition toward truly sustainable business models.

Keywords: green economy, sustainable development, business model transformation, circular economy, environmental innovation, corporate sustainability, sustainable business, resource efficiency, ESG, climate resilience

I. Introduction

The global economy stands at a critical juncture, where traditional business models based on resource extraction, linear production, and short-term profit maximization are increasingly incompatible with planetary boundaries and societal needs. Climate change, biodiversity loss, pollution, and growing social inequalities demand a fundamental rethinking of how businesses operate and create value. In response, the concept of the green economy has emerged as a transformative framework for aligning economic activity with environmental sustainability and long-term human well-being.

Defined by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) as an economy that results in improved human well-being and social equity while significantly reducing environmental risks and ecological scarcities, the green economy calls for a systemic shift across sectors. This shift involves moving away from fossil fuels and wasteful production patterns toward renewable energy, circular

material flows, energy efficiency, and inclusive growth. At the heart of this transformation are businesses—the primary engines of innovation, employment, and value creation—which are being challenged to evolve from part of the problem to a key part of the solution.

Sustainable development, as outlined in the UN 2030 Agenda and its 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), provides a comprehensive roadmap for this transition. Achieving these goals requires not only public action but also deep engagement from the private sector. Companies are increasingly expected to go beyond compliance and philanthropy, integrating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) principles into their core strategies, operations, and supply chains.

In recent years, a growing number of firms—from multinational corporations to small and medium enterprises—have begun redefining their business models in response to regulatory pressures, shifting consumer preferences, investor demands, and the rising costs of environmental degradation. Examples include adopting circular economy practices, transitioning to net-zero emissions, offering product-as-a-service models, and ensuring fair labor practices across global supply chains.

However, this transformation is neither uniform nor automatic. Structural, financial, and cultural barriers persist, particularly in industries with high carbon intensity or entrenched linear practices. Moreover, the risk of greenwashing—superficial claims of sustainability without substantive change—remains a challenge to credibility and trust.

This paper examines how the green economy is driving the transformation of traditional business models, analyzing the drivers, innovations, and challenges shaping this transition. It explores real-world examples of sustainable business transformation and identifies the conditions under which companies can achieve both ecological integrity and economic resilience. By bridging environmental imperatives with business strategy, the study aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of how sustainable development can be operationalized in the corporate world.

II. Methods

This study employs a qualitative case study approach combined with a systematic thematic analysis to examine the transformation of traditional business models in the context of the green economy and sustainable development. The research design focuses on identifying patterns of innovation, strategic adaptation, and systemic change across different industries and organizational scales.

A total of 12 companies were selected for in-depth analysis using a purposive sampling strategy to ensure diversity in sector, geography, and size. Case studies include firms from manufacturing (e.g., Interface Inc.), energy (Ørsted), agriculture (Danone), fashion (Patagonia), consumer goods (Unilever), and technology (Apple), representing both multinational corporations and innovative small and medium enterprises (SMEs) from Europe, North America, and emerging economies.

Data were collected through a multi-source approach:

- Document analysis of corporate sustainability reports, environmental, social, and governance (ESG) disclosures, and public commitments (e.g., Science-Based Targets initiative, CDP reports).
- Academic and industry case studies from peer-reviewed journals, Harvard Business Review, and reports by UNEP, World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD), and Ellen MacArthur Foundation.
- Publicly available interviews and statements by CEOs and sustainability officers.
- Secondary data from international databases such as Bloomberg ESG, Sustainalytics, and the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) database.

The analytical framework is structured around four dimensions of business model transformation:

1. Value proposition: How sustainability is embedded in products and services.
2. Production and supply chain: Adoption of circular economy principles, renewable energy, and responsible sourcing.

3. Revenue models: Shifts toward service-based, leasing, or sharing models (e.g., product-as-a-service).
4. Stakeholder engagement: Integration of ESG criteria, transparency, and accountability mechanisms.

Thematic coding was conducted using NVivo software to identify recurring patterns, drivers, and barriers. Key themes included regulatory influence, consumer demand, cost savings, investor pressure, technological innovation, and leadership commitment.

To ensure validity and reduce bias, triangulation was applied by cross-verifying findings across multiple sources for each case. The results were further contextualized with macro-level data on policy trends, green finance flows, and global sustainability indicators from OECD, UNEP, and the IPCC.

This methodological approach enables a comprehensive understanding of how businesses are reconfiguring their operations to align with the principles of the green economy, while also identifying structural challenges and enabling conditions for broader systemic change.

III. Results

In addition to international case studies, early but significant examples of business model transformation in line with green economy and sustainable development principles are emerging in Russia. While the transition is slower compared to EU countries and faces barriers such as weak regulatory frameworks, dependence on extractive industries, and limited access to green finance, several companies and sectors are demonstrating measurable progress.

1. Energy Sector: Initial Steps Toward Decarbonization. Major energy companies have begun implementing environmental initiatives. RusHydro has launched programs to improve energy efficiency at hydroelectric power stations and is actively developing small hydropower and wind energy projects. By 2023, RusHydro commissioned over 1.2 GW of renewable capacity, including the 90 MW Sayano-Shushenskaya Solar Plant and wind farms in the Far East. The company aims to achieve carbon neutrality for new assets by 2035.

Gazprom and Lukoil have introduced methane emission reduction programs and are piloting carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies. Gazprom reported a 20% reduction in specific greenhouse gas emissions per unit of output between 2015 and 2023. However, these efforts remain limited in scale and are not yet aligned with science-based targets.

2. Manufacturing and Industry: Resource Efficiency and Waste Management. NLMK (Novolipetsk Steel) has invested in energy recovery systems and closed-loop water cycles, reducing freshwater intake by 35% and cutting CO₂ emissions per ton of steel by 12% since 2018. The company uses 100% of its production waste for secondary processing, aligning with circular economy principles.

SIBUR, Russia's largest petrochemical company, launched its "Green Line" initiative, producing recyclable polymers and investing in chemical recycling technologies. In 2022, SIBUR opened a pilot plant for pyrolysis of mixed plastic waste, aiming to process up to 50,000 tons annually by 2026.

3. Retail and Consumer Goods: Sustainability in Supply Chains. X5 Retail Group, which operates Pyaterochka and Perekrestok, has committed to reducing plastic packaging by 25% by 2025 and introduced reusable container pilots in Moscow. The company has also implemented a digital traceability system for key agricultural products to improve supply chain transparency.

MAGNIT launched a waste separation program across 2,000 stores and distribution centers, achieving a 40% recycling rate for cardboard and plastic in 2023. The company also reduced food waste through improved logistics and partnerships with charitable organizations.

4. Green Finance and ESG Reporting. While still underdeveloped, ESG reporting is gaining traction. As of 2023, over 60 Russian companies publish sustainability reports, including Sberbank, Gazprom Neft, and Severstal. Sberbank, the largest bank in Eastern Europe, launched Russia's first green bond in 2021 (RUB 20 billion) to finance renewable energy and energy-efficient infrastructure. Since then, total green bond issuance in Russia has reached RUB 120 billion (~USD 1.3 billion), though

this remains a small fraction of global volumes.

5. Barriers and Enablers in the Russian Context

Key challenges include:

- Lack of mandatory ESG disclosure requirements,
- Absence of a national carbon pricing mechanism,
- Limited incentives for green innovation,
- Low consumer demand for sustainable products compared to Western markets.

However, enablers are emerging:

- The Russian government's adoption of the *Strategy for Socioeconomic Development with Low Greenhouse Gas Emissions until 2050* (2021),
- Growing interest from institutional investors in sustainability metrics,
- Development of green standards by the Association for Sustainable Business Development.

The findings indicate that while Russia is at an early stage of green business transformation, pioneering companies are laying the groundwork for systemic change. The integration of circular practices, renewable energy, and ESG reporting signals a shift—albeit gradual—toward more sustainable models. However, widespread transformation will require stronger policy support, standardized impact measurement, and increased public and investor demand for sustainability. Without these, progress risks remaining isolated and incremental rather than transformative.

IV. Discussion

I. Subsection One The Global-Local Divergence in Green Business Transformation

The results highlight a growing divergence between global leaders in sustainable business transformation and national contexts such as Russia, where progress remains nascent and structurally constrained. In countries with strong regulatory frameworks, mature capital markets, and high societal demand for sustainability—such as Denmark, Germany, and the United States—companies like Ørsted, Unilever, and Patagonia have redefined their business models around environmental and social value creation. These firms operate in ecosystems that reward long-term thinking, transparency, and innovation, supported by carbon pricing, green procurement policies, and investor expectations aligned with ESG criteria.

In contrast, in Russia, the shift toward a green economy is still largely driven by individual corporate initiatives rather than systemic transformation. While companies like RusHydro, NLMK, SIBUR, and Sberbank are implementing meaningful environmental programs, these efforts are often isolated, project-based, and not fully integrated into core strategy. The absence of mandatory ESG reporting, carbon pricing, and national circular economy legislation limits incentives for widespread change. Moreover, the dominance of resource-intensive industries—oil, gas, metals, and chemicals—creates structural inertia, where short-term profitability from fossil fuels outweighs investment in sustainable alternatives.

Another key difference lies in stakeholder pressure. In Western markets, consumers, investors, and civil society organizations actively demand accountability, pushing companies to adopt science-based targets and third-party certifications. In Russia, public awareness of sustainability issues remains relatively low, and consumer behavior is primarily driven by price rather than environmental impact. Institutional investors are only beginning to incorporate ESG metrics into decision-making, and regulatory oversight is still evolving.

However, the Russian cases also reveal important enablers. The adoption of the Low-Carbon Development Strategy until 2050 signals a long-term policy direction, and the emergence of green finance instruments—such as Sberbank's green bonds—demonstrates growing market readiness.

Furthermore, digital transformation and state support for import substitution are creating opportunities to "leapfrog" outdated technologies and integrate sustainability into new infrastructure projects.

This global-local divergence underscores that green business transformation is not just a matter of corporate will, but a function of institutional context. In advanced economies, sustainability has become a strategic imperative embedded in market logic. In Russia and similar emerging economies, it remains largely a voluntary, reputational, or compliance-driven activity. For transformation to scale, it must be supported by a convergence of policy, finance, and societal demand—turning sustainability from a niche advantage into a competitive necessity.

II. Subsection Two: Overcoming Structural and Systemic Barriers to Sustainable Transformation

The transition to a green economy requires more than isolated corporate initiatives—it demands systemic change that addresses deep-rooted structural, economic, and institutional barriers. In both advanced and emerging economies, companies face challenges in shifting from linear, resource-intensive models to regenerative and inclusive systems. However, the nature and severity of these obstacles differ significantly by national context.

In developed economies, the main barriers are often cultural and organizational: resistance to change, short-term financial incentives, and misaligned performance metrics. Despite strong regulatory frameworks, some firms engage in greenwashing—adopting sustainability branding without substantive operational changes. Additionally, supply chain complexity makes full transparency and decarbonization difficult, especially in globalized industries such as fashion and electronics.

In contrast, in countries like Russia, structural impediments are far more pronounced. The economy remains heavily dependent on fossil fuel exports, which account for over 30% of federal budget revenues, creating strong political and economic resistance to decarbonization. Energy pricing does not reflect environmental costs, reducing incentives for energy efficiency and renewable adoption. The lack of standardized ESG disclosure requirements, independent verification mechanisms, and green taxonomy further hinders investor confidence and capital allocation toward sustainable projects.

Moreover, access to green financing remains limited. While green bond issuance has begun, it is concentrated among a few large state-linked corporations. Small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which constitute the majority of businesses, lack access to affordable credit for sustainability upgrades. At the same time, there is a shortage of skilled professionals in environmental management, circular design, and carbon accounting, slowing innovation and implementation.

However, opportunities exist to overcome these barriers. Digital technologies—such as AI-driven energy optimization, blockchain for supply chain traceability, and digital twins for industrial efficiency—can accelerate the transition even in resource-constrained environments. Public-private partnerships can de-risk investments and scale pilot projects, as seen in SIBUR's chemical recycling initiative and RusHydro's renewable energy expansion.

Policy intervention is critical. Governments must introduce carbon pricing, phase out fossil fuel subsidies, mandate ESG reporting, and develop national circular economy roadmaps. In Russia, aligning the *Low-Carbon Development Strategy* with sectoral regulations and fiscal incentives could catalyze broader corporate action. Educational reforms and workforce retraining programs are also needed to build green skills across industries.

Ultimately, sustainable business transformation cannot succeed in isolation. It requires a coordinated ecosystem involving regulators, financial institutions, academia, and civil society. When policies, markets, and corporate strategies converge around sustainability, the green economy ceases to be an alternative model and becomes the default mode of economic activity—resilient, inclusive, and aligned with planetary boundaries.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. A/RES/70/1. <https://doi.org/10.18356/9789210058405>
- [2] IPCC. (2023). Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. <https://doi.org/10.59327/IPCC/AR6-9789291691647>
- [3] World Bank. (2022). State of Public Finances for Sustainable Development. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1889-9>
- [4] OECD. (2023). Aligning Investment with Sustainable Development: Policy Guidance for Governments and Institutional Investors. <https://doi.org/10.1787/7a2c1b8f-en>
- [5] UNEP. (2022). Global Trends in Sustainable Investment 2022. <https://www.unep.org/resources/report/global-trends-sustainable-investment-2022>
- [6] GSIA. (2023). Global Sustainable Investment Review 2023. <https://www.gsi-alliance.org/report/2023-global-sustainable-investment-review/>
- [7] Eccles, R. G., & Krzus, M. P. (2022). One Report: Integrated Social, Environmental, and Financial Accounting. MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12040.001.0001>
- [8] Babbayeva, Z. Sh. (2021). Development of green finance in the Russian Federation: current state and prospects. *Economics and Management: Problems, Solutions*, (12), 45–51. <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=45471629>
- [9] Babbayeva, Z. Sh. (2020). Environmental and economic aspects of sustainable development in the context of digital transformation. *Vestnik of the Volga University named after V.N. Tatishchev*, 8(4), 517–523. <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=44387183>
- [10] IISD. (2023). Measuring Progress: A Practical Guide to Calculating Social Return on Investment (SROI). <https://www.iisd.org/publications/measuring-progress-sroi-guide>
- [11] Stern, N. (2023). The economics of climate change: The Stern Review revisited. *Nature Climate Change*, 13(1), 15–22. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01565-9>
- [12] Scholtens, B., & van Wensveen, D. (2023). The financial performance of sustainable investments: A global meta-analysis. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 13(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2022.2154321>