

THE IMPACT OF RAM ON SYSTEM PERFORMANCE: A REVIEW

Parveen Sihmar, Vikas Modgil, Sandeep Kumar

•

Department of Mechanical Engineering

D.C.R.U.S.T., Murthal, Sonipat, Haryana, India.

20001904003parveen@dcrustm.org, vikasmodgil@yahoo.co.uk, praansandeep@gmail.com

Abstract

One of the requirements that industrial systems must meet is that they must function effectively for a prolonged period. The system's performance is a vital component for failure-free operation; yet, in actual fact, it is extremely rare for any production system to be completely failure-free. Over the course of the past twenty-five years, a comprehensive and critical literature assessment of reliability, maintainability, and availability (RAM) approaches has been carried out. These approaches have the potential to assist in the enhancement of the performance of complex systems. The review of a few publications resulted in the provision of comprehensive information regarding the historical and contemporary situation of RAM procedures in the research field and enterprises. A review of RAM tools and approaches can help in doing qualitative and quantitative analysis of complex systems. The author of this research tried to focus on a few of the key components of RAM methods.

Keywords: availability, reliability, maintainability, petri nets.

I. Introduction

The definition of reliability is the ability of the system to perform its proposed operation without failure for a specified period of time. It consists of performance predictability and reduction of unexpected disruptions that contribute to a sense of dependability and trustworthiness in the system. Maintainability describes the speed and effectiveness with which it can be fixed and returned to attention. When it comes to keeping systems running smoothly, minimising downtime and cutting costs through quick and effective maintenance and repair is definitely a sign of good maintainability. Availability is a way to express how ready a system or a component is to be available when you need it. When a system says that it has high availability, it's not saying that it has no downtime. It's really saying that it has such a minuscule amount of downtime that its operational functions are sort of like a hummingbird—a marvel of nature, not something we wish to experience in our daily workflow. Reliability, Availability, and Maintainability (RAM) are three key basics of reliability engineering. They are fundamental to the analysis and design of reliable systems. Components of interconnected systems must work correctly and together to ensure system reliability. As the technological world becomes ever more interconnected and complex, the idea of system reliability has moved to the forefront of engineering disciplines. As sectors change swiftly and systems grow ever more intricate, ensuring that operations run smoothly has never been more vital, especially in such fields as manufacturing, energy production, and resource management. Availability is the percentage of the time a system is actually working and ready to use. There's a

slight overlap, but the two concepts are quite different. Maintainability just refers to how easy it is to fix a system and get it back up and running when it does fail. These three components are at the heart of RAM analysis, and the goal is to boost system performance. By diving into RAM analysis, industries can whip up maintenance plans, enhance reliability, and, you guessed it, cut costs. In the energy sector, for instance, RAM analysis can really step up the game by improving energy production systems, i.e thermal power plants, wind farms, and solar plants. It helps identify faults and makes the system stronger and more resilient, which is crucial for making sure everyone has access to affordable and modern energy.

Over in the industrial sector, boosting system reliability means businesses can dodge those expensive downtimes, cut down on waste, and work more efficiently. Reading Analysis for Messages (RAM) not only nudges industries along the totally sweet path of clean tech (i.e., toward using way less energy and producing way less pollution, and, quite frankly, toward cleaner businesses—which fits nicely with the interests of everyone from environmental groups to some insurers and financially savvy investors), but it also nudges them toward smarter, cleaner usage of their assets. Asset management almost always equals better waste management. Ultimately, examining RAM can substantially accelerate progress toward a prosperous economy by ensuring that enterprises operate dependably, adaptively, and resiliently. When systems are dependable, they substantially reduce the risk of sudden breakdowns and failures that could interrupt production. This not only makes the economy stronger but also helps protect jobs in those sectors.

II. Literature Review

Bevilacqua and Braglia [1] brought attention to the practical use of the AHP approach in the process of selecting a maintenance strategy for the Italian oil refinery. Economic considerations, practicality, costs, safety, and other relevant issues were all incorporated in their research, which integrated all the information required to make informed decisions about maintenance programs. Zhang and Horigome [2] observed the evolution of the system's failure and repair rates (FRR) in terms of their dependability and availability. The solution demonstrates the system's availability with different FRR. Adamyan and David [3] devised a method that relied on Petri Nets to identify failure sequences and evaluate the likelihood of their incidence within a manufacturing system. They improved the reliability analysis and risk assessment in systems. Elegbede and Adjallah [4] Optimised the availability of various systems by analysing their reliability and cost in relation to series and parallel repairable systems. Marseguerra et al. [5] examined multi-objective optimisation, utilising evolutionary algorithms and considering parameter uncertainty. The decision-maker can utilise this strategy to develop a solution that optimises safety behaviour and provides high certainty in system performance for complex systems. Samrout et al. [6] developed an Ant Colony Optimisation method to reduce series-parallel system preventative maintenance costs. Aksu et al. [7] developed a meticulous reliability evaluation method using FMEA, FTA, and Markov Analysis. It was used to assess pod propulsion unit availability and reliability. The study implemented these methods to investigate their practical efficacy. Kiureghian and Ditlevson [8] investigated the dependability and downtime of a unit, including repairable subsystems. Furthermore, closed-form equations are derived for the rates of change of several probabilistic system performance measures concerning the FRR for every subsystem. Critical engineering systems were introduced to the Markovian approach to getting system behaviour by means of RAM analysis by Sharma and Kumar et. al. [9] The differential equations were generated using the transition diagram. The results show that MTBF and MTTR are key features for the system's planning and maintenance.

Kumar et al. [10] developed a model to evaluate availability utilising a Markov Birth-Death Process (MBDP) methodology. The model was utilized in the ammonia production unit to assess availability. Rahman et al. [11] conducted research to determine the underlying factors that led to the failure of

a division wall super heating tube in a coal-fired power station. Vora et al. [12] used a probabilistic approach to assess the thermal plant's turbo generator system's performance. Transition diagrams have been used to formulate problems using the Markov technique. Failure and repair availability graphs have been examined for maximum availability based on the results. Bose et al. [13] assessed RAM of the coal-fired TPP. Preventive maintenance intervals were based on plant reliability. The investigation showed that the economizer and furnace wall tube were less reliable than the others. The plant's economizer was also declared its most important component. Kim and Kang [14] developed a reliability analysis method based on the Recursive Decomposition Algorithm for risk assessment of generic networks. Aggarwal et al. [15] explored the application of Markov approach and Runge Kutta approach for determining the MTBF to optimize a butter oil production system's performance. Sharifi et al. [16] created a RAP model that maximizes system dependability within weight and cost restrictions by utilizing GA, SA, PSO, and MA. They came to the conclusion that MA outperformed the other methods. Kumar and Garg [17] created an MA-based mathematical model for evaluating and estimating 'a series-parallel system' availability. In comparison to other optimization approaches, PSO yields higher outcomes. Poh and Liang [18] devised multiple criteria decision support in order to construct a complete analytic network process model. This model will appropriately capture and disclose all of the interrelationships and interdependencies among the pieces in the problem, which is typically a very challenging endeavour. Singhal and Sharma [19] examined industrial system availability using Markov and fuzzy numbers. Generalised fuzzy numbers tackle data uncertainty. Different arithmetic operations have measured availability. The system analyst saw how FRR affected the system. Dahiya et al. [20] used a fuzzy reliability approach to create a mathematical model of the "A-pan crystallization system of a sugar industry." They used this model to find differential equations, and they found that failures in the Grader, Weighment, and Bagging subsystems really hurt the system more than failures in other subsystems.

Jagtap et al. [21] used the Markov approach to calculate the turbo-generator subsystem's performance and discovered that the turbine lubrication and generator excitation components significantly affect system availability. The PSO method optimised the system's availability to 98.9394 per cent for particle size 30. Modgil et al. [22] aimed to simulate and assess the "Container Manufacturing plant's" availability using the PN method. The system was mathematically recreated in the real environment using a Petri module of the GRIF 2021 software. Maintenance of the Hydraulic Press is prioritised, and the number of repairmen is optimal. Vital et al. [23] used the timed Petri nets to assess the availability of a nuclear reactor limitation system. Gopal and Panchal [24] assessed the performance of the milk processing unit. They used fuzzy Jaya-based Lambda-Tau to evaluate MPU performance parameters. It was compared to standard Fuzzy Lambda-Tau and Particle Swarm Optimisation-Based Lambda-Tau methods. Parkash and Tiwari [25] evaluated the performance of the SPVC line system of the leaf spring production plant. Decision matrix shows that edge cutting burr is the most essential component, requiring the highest maintenance priorities. Sihmar and Modgil [26] assessed the performance of the combed-sliver production system in the yarn production system. The PSO approach optimised the system's availability.

III. Research Gaps

Within this section, the RAM methodology has been utilized to present the brief conclusions of a literature study.

- Numerous researchers talked about their attempts to employ appropriate maintenance protocols, regulations, and various operating schedules in order to boost plant availability. However, very few studies have examined the

connection between maintenance schedules and costs. Cost-affecting factors must also be prioritised.

- The literature research shows that a variety of methods have been used, such as fault tree analysis, Markov models, and Lambda tau technologies. Every one of these methods has a number of advantages and disadvantages. However, a technique called Markovian Petri Nets can effectively strike a compromise between modelling and decision-making ability. There is very little use of this type of instrument in the literature review.
- This survey found that researchers mostly concentrated on RAM methods, although RAMD and RAMS research was scarce. The researchers overlooked the significance of the relationship between safety and reliability. Working in a safe environment motivates team members to work in hazardous environments, while dependability improves reliability by completing its mission or services.

IV. Conclusion

There are many different RAM issues, tools, and approaches that are employed in a variety of plants and process industries, and a detailed survey of the literature shows them. During the literature review, the authors mainly concentrated on the maintenance plan, reducing the costs of production and maintenance, and boosting the performability and productivity of the system, among other things. There are a variety of RAM tools and approaches that may be applied in both the design and operating stages of the plant in order to improve the facility's performance further. Each plant is separated into units or components for maintenance planning to keep systems running for a long time. In order to conduct the analysis and modelling, various approaches were utilised. These techniques included Markov Analysis, Failure Mode and Effects Analysis, Fault Tree Analysis, Reliability Growth Analysis, Fuzzy Model, Monte Carlo technique, Chapman-Kolmogorov birth-death process, Stochastic Petri Nets, Particle Swarm Optimisation (PSO), and others.

References

- [1] Bevilacqua, M. and Braglia, M. (2000) "The analytic hierarchy process applied to maintenance strategy selection," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 71–83, 2000, doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0951-8320\(00\)00047-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0951-8320(00)00047-8).
- [2] Zhang, T. and Horigome, M. (2001) "Availability and reliability of system with dependent components and time-varying failure and repair rates," *IEEE Trans. Reliab.*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 151–158, 2001.
- [3] Adamyán, A. and He, D. (2002) "Analysis of sequential failures for assessment of reliability and safety of manufacturing systems," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 227–236, 2002.
- [4] Elegbede, C. and Adjallah, K. (2003) "Availability allocation to repairable systems with genetic algorithms: a multi-objective formulation," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 319–330, 2003.
- [5] Marseguerra, M., Zio, E. and Podofillini, L. (2004) "Optimal reliability/availability of uncertain systems via multi-objective genetic algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Reliab.*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 424–434, 2004.
- [6] Samrout, M., Yalaoui, F., Châtelet, E. and Chebbo, N. (2005) "New methods to minimize the preventive maintenance cost of series–parallel systems using ant colony optimization," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 346–354, 2005.
- [7] Aksu, S., Aksu, S. and Turan, O. (2006) "Reliability and availability of pod propulsion systems," *Qual. Reliab. Eng. Int.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 41–58, 2006.
- [8] Kiureghian, A. Der, Ditlevsen, O. D. and Song, J. (2007) "Availability, reliability and

downtime of systems with repairable components," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 92, no. 2, pp. 231–242, 2007.

[9] Sharma, R. K. and Kumar, S. (2008) "Performance modeling in critical engineering systems using RAM analysis," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 93, no. 6, pp. 913–919, 2008.

[10] Kumar, S., Tewari, P. C. and Kumar, S. (2009) "Performance evaluation and availability analysis of ammonia synthesis unit in a fertilizer plant," *J. Ind. Eng. Int.*, vol. 5, no. 9, pp. 17–26, 2009.

[11] Rahman, M. M., Purbolaksono, J. and Ahmad, J. (2010) "Root cause failure analysis of a division wall superheater tube of a coal-fired power station," *Eng. Fail. Anal.*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 1490–1494, 2010.

[12] Vora, Y., Patel, M. B. and Tewari, P. C. (2011) "Simulation model for stochastic analysis and performance evaluation of steam generator system of a thermal power plant," *Int. J. Eng. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 5141–5149, 2011.

[13] Bose, D., Chattopadhyay, S., Bose, G., Adhikary, D. and Mitra, S. (2012) "RAM investigation of coal-fired thermal power plants: a case study," *Int. J. Ind. Eng. Comput.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 423–434, 2012.

[14] Kim, Y. and Kang, W.-H. (2013) "Network reliability analysis of complex systems using a non-simulation-based method," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 110, pp. 80–88, 2013.

[15] Aggarwal, A., Kumar, S. and Singh, V. (2015) "Performance modeling of the skim milk powder production system of a dairy plant using RAMD analysis," *Int. J. Qual. Reliab. Manag.*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 167–181, 2015.

[16] Sharifi, M., Cheragh, G., Maljaili, K. D., Zaretalab, A. and Fakre Daei, A. V. (2015) "RELIABILITY OPTIMIZATION OF A SERIES-PARALLEL K-OUT-OF-N SYSTEM WITH FAILURE RATE DEPENDS ON WORKING COMPONENTS OF SYSTEM.," *Int. J. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 22, no. 4, 2015.

[17] Kumar, A. and Garg, R. K. (2016) "Availability analysis and evaluation of series parallel system using soft computing technique," *Int. J. Reliab. Saf.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 346–367, 2016.

[18] Poh, K. L. and Liang, Y. (2017) "Multiple-criteria decision support for a sustainable supply chain: applications to the fashion industry," in *Informatics*, MDPI, 2017, p. 36.

[19] Singhal, N. and Sharma, S. P. (2019) "Availability analysis of industrial systems using Markov process and generalized fuzzy numbers," *Mapan*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 79–91, 2019.

[20] Dahiya, O., Kumar, A. and Saini, M. (2019) "Mathematical modeling and performance evaluation of A-pan crystallization system in a sugar industry," *SN Appl. Sci.*, vol. 1, pp. 1–9, 2019.

[21] Jagtap, H. P., Bewoor, A. K., Kumar, R., Ahmadi, M. H. and Chen, L. (2020) "Performance analysis and availability optimization to improve maintenance schedule for the turbo-generator subsystem of a thermal power plant using particle swarm optimization," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.*, vol. 204, p. 107130, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/J.RESS.2020.107130.

[22] Modgil, V. (2022) "Modelling and availability analysis of container manufacturing industry with Petri Nets," *Mater. Today Proc.*, vol. 56, pp. 2730–2734, 2022.

[23] Vital, R. B. N., e Melo, P. F. F., Medeiros, J. and Alvarenga, M. A. B. (2022) "Availability assessment of a nuclear reactor limitation system by a Timed Petri Net," *Prog. Nucl. Energy*, vol. 152, p. 104380, 2022.

[24] Gopal, N. and Panchal, D. (2023) "Reliability based framework for failure analysis in milk process industry," *Decis. Mak. Appl. Manag. Eng.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 631–645, 2023.

[25] Parkash, S. and Tewari, P. C. (2024) "Performability analysis for the SPVC line system of leaf spring production plant using probabilistic approach," *J. Qual. Maint. Eng.*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 508–520, 2024.

[26] Sihmar, P. and Modgil, V. (2025) "Optimization of combed-sliver production system availability for a Yarn Production Unit using PSO," *Life Cycle Reliab. Saf. Eng.*, doi: 10.1007/s41872-025-00301-0.